arXiv:1607.00041v1 [quant-ph] 30 Jun 2016

Sandwiched Rényi Convergence for Quantum Evolutions

Alexander Müller-Hermes* [1] and Daniel Stilck França† [2]

[1]Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, 2100 Copenhagen, Denmark
[2]Department of Mathematics, Technische Universität München, 85748 Garching, Germany

July 4, 2016

We study the speed of convergence of a primitive quantum time evolution towards its fixed point in the distance of sandwiched Rényi divergences. For each of these distance measures the convergence is typically exponentially fast and the best exponent is given by a constant (similar to a logarithmic Sobolev constant) depending only on the generator of the time evolution. We establish relations between these constants and the logarithmic Sobolev constants as well as the spectral gap. An important consequence of these relations is the derivation of mixing time bounds for time evolutions directly from logarithmic Sobolev inequalities without relying on notions like l_p-regularity. We also derive strong converse bounds for the classical capacity of a quantum time evolution.

Contents

1. Introduction **2**

2. Notation and Preliminaries **2**
2.1. Noncommutative l_p-spaces . . . 3
2.2. Quantum dynamical semigroups . . . 4
2.3. Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities and the spectral gap . . . 5

3. Convergence rates for sandwiched Rényi divergences **6**
3.1. Rényi-entropy production . . . 7
3.2. Sandwiched Rényi convergence rates . . . 8
3.3. Computing β_p in simple cases . . . 8

4. Comparison with similar quantities **10**
4.1. Comparison with spectral gap . . . 10
4.2. Comparison with logarithmic Sobolev constants . . . 11

5. Mixing times **12**
5.1. Mixing in Continuous Time . . . 13
5.2. Mixing in Discrete Time . . . 14

6. Strong converse bounds for the classical capacity **17**

7. Conclusion and open questions **18**

*muellerh@posteo.net
†dsfranca@mytum.de

A. Taylor Expansion of the Dirichlet Form **19**

B. Interpolation Theorems and Proof of Theorem 5.3 **20**

1. Introduction

Consider a quantum system affected by Markovian noise modelled by a quantum dynamical semigroup T_t (with time parameter $t \in \mathbb{R}$) driving every initial state towards a unique full-rank state σ. Using the framework of logarithmic Sobolev inequalities as introduced in [OZ99, KT13] the speed of the convergence towards the fixed point can be studied. Specifically, the α_1-logarithmic Sobolev constant (see [OZ99, KT13]) is the optimal exponent $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that the inequality

$$D(T_t(\rho)\|\sigma) \leq e^{-2\alpha t} D(\rho\|\sigma) \tag{1}$$

holds for the quantum Kullback-Leibler divergence for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and all states ρ.

The framework of logarithmic Sobolev constants is closely linked to properties of noncommutative l_p-norms, and specifically to hypercontractivity [OZ99, KT13]. Noncommutative l_p-norms also appeared recently in the definition of generalized Rényi divergences (so called "sandwiched Rényi divergences" [MLDS+13, WWY14]). It is therefore natural to study the relationship between logarithmic Sobolev inequalities and noncommutative l_p-norms more closely. The approach used here is to define constants (which we call β_p for a parameter $p \in [1, \infty)$), which resemble the logarithmic Sobolev constants, but where the distance measure is a sandwiched Rényi divergence instead of the quantum Kullback-Leibler divergence. More specifically, the constants β_p will be the optimal exponents such inequalities of the form (1) hold for the sandwiched Rényi divergences D_p instead of the quantum Kullback-Leibler divergence D.

Our main results are two-fold:

- We derive inequalities between the new β_p and other quantities such as logarithmic Sobolev constants and the spectral gap of the generator of the time evolution. These inequalities not only reveal basic properties of the β_p, but can also be used as a technical tool to strengthen results involving logarithmic Sobolev constants.
- We apply our framework to derive bounds on the mixing time of quantum dynamical semigroups. Using the interplay between the β_p and the logarithmic Sobolev constants we show how to derive a mixing time bound directly from a logarithmic Sobolev constant. Previously, this was only known under the additional assumption of l_p-regularity (see [KT13]) of the generator. It is still an open question whether l_p-regularity holds for all primitive generators.

As an additional application of our methods we derive time-dependent strong converse bounds on the classical capacity of a quantum dynamical semigroup.

2. Notation and Preliminaries

Throughout this paper $\mathcal{M}_d$ will denote the space of $d \times d$ complex matrices. We will denote by $\mathcal{D}_d$ the set of d-dimensional quantum states, i.e. positive semi-definite matrices $\rho \in \mathcal{M}_d$ with trace 1. By $\mathcal{M}_d^+$ we denote the set of positive definite matrices and by $\mathcal{D}_d^+ = \mathcal{M}_d^+ \cap \mathcal{D}_d$ the set of full rank states.

In [MLDS+13, WWY14] the following definition of sandwiched quantum Rényi divergences was proposed:

Definition 2.1 (Sandwiched p-Rényi divergence)**.** *Let $\rho, \sigma \in \mathcal{D}_d$. For $p \in (0,1) \cup (1, \infty)$, the **sandwiched p-Rényi divergence** is defined as:*

$$D_p(\rho\|\sigma) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{p-1} \log\left(tr\left[\left(\sigma^{\frac{1-p}{2p}} \rho \sigma^{\frac{1-p}{2p}}\right)^p\right]\right) & \text{if } supp(\rho) \subseteq supp(\sigma) \text{ or } p \in (0,1) \\ +\infty, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

where $\mathrm{supp}(\rho) \subseteq \mathrm{supp}(\sigma)$ *means that the kernel of* σ *is contained in the kernel of* ρ*.*

Note that we are using a different normalization than in [MLDS+13, WWY14], which is more convenient for our purposes. The logarithm in our definition is in base e, while theirs is in base 2.

Taking the limit $p \to 1$ gives the usual quantum Kullback-Leibler divergence [Ume62]

$$\lim_{p\to 1} D_p(\rho\|\sigma) = D(\rho\|\sigma) := \begin{cases} \mathrm{tr}[\rho(\log(\rho) - \log(\sigma))] & \text{if } \mathrm{supp}(\rho) \subseteq \mathrm{supp}(\sigma) \\ +\infty, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Similarly by taking the limit $p \to \infty$ we obtain the max-relative entropy [MLDS+13, Theorem 5]

$$\lim_{p\to\infty} D_p(\rho\|\sigma) = D_\infty(\rho\|\sigma) = \log\left(\|\sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}}\rho\sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|_\infty\right).$$

The sandwiched Rényi divergences increase monotonically in the parameter $p \geq 1$ (see [Bei13, Theorem 7]) and we have

$$D(\rho\|\sigma) = D_1(\rho\|\sigma) \leq D_p(\rho\|\sigma) \leq D_q(\rho\|\sigma) \leq D_\infty(\rho\|\sigma). \tag{3}$$

for any $q \geq p \geq 1$ and all $\rho, \sigma \in \mathcal{D}_d$. Next we state two simple consequences of this ordering, which will be useful later.

Lemma 2.1. *For* $\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_d^+$ *and* $p \in [1, +\infty)$

$$\sup_{\rho\in\mathcal{D}_d} D_p(\rho\|\sigma) = \log\left(\|\sigma^{-1}\|_\infty\right).$$

Proof. Using (3) for $\rho \in \mathcal{D}_d$ we have

$$D_p(\rho\|\sigma) \leq D_\infty(\rho\|\sigma) = \log\left(\|\sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}}\rho\sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|_\infty\right) \leq \log\left(\|\sigma^{-1}\|_\infty\right).$$

Here we used that any quantum state $\rho \in \mathcal{D}_d$ fulfills $\rho \leq \mathbb{1}_d$. Clearly, choosing $\rho = |v_{\min}\rangle\langle v_{\min}|$ for a eigenvector $|v_{\min}\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^d$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $\|\sigma^{-1}\|_\infty$ of σ^{-1} achieves equality in the previous bound.

□

Using (3) together with the well-known Pinsker inequality[HOT81, Theorem 3.1] for the quantum Kullback-Leibler divergence we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\|\sigma - \rho\|_1^2 \leq D(\rho\|\sigma) \leq D_p(\rho\|\sigma) \tag{4}$$

for any $p \geq 1$ and all $\rho, \sigma \in \mathcal{D}_d$. The constant $\frac{1}{2}$ has been shown to be optimal in the classical case (see [Gil10]), i.e. restricting to ρ that commute with σ, and is therefore also optimal here.

It will be convenient to express the p-Rényi divergences (2) in terms of relative densities and noncommutative l_p-norms, which we will introduce now. We refer to [OZ99, KT13] and references therein for proofs and more details about the concepts introduced in this section.

2.1. Noncommutative l_p-spaces

In the following $\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_d^+$ will denote a full rank reference state. For $p \geq 1$ we define the **noncommutative p-norm** with respect to σ as

$$\|X\|_{p,\sigma} = \mathrm{tr}\left[\left|\sigma^{\frac{1}{2p}} X \sigma^{\frac{1}{2p}}\right|^p\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \tag{5}$$

for any $X \in \mathcal{M}_d$. The space $(\mathcal{M}_d, \|\cdot\|_{p,\sigma})$ is called a (weighted) noncommutative l_p-space. For a linear map $\Phi : \mathcal{M}_d \to \mathcal{M}_d$ and $p, q \geq 1$ we define the **noncommutative $p \to q$-norm** with respect to σ as

$$\|\Phi\|_{p\to q,\sigma} = \sup_{Y\in\mathcal{M}_d} \frac{\|\Phi(Y)\|_{q,\sigma}}{\|Y\|_{p,\sigma}}.$$

We introduce the **weighting operator** $\Gamma_\sigma : \mathcal{M}_d \to \mathcal{M}_d$ as

$$\Gamma_\sigma(X) = \sigma^{\frac{1}{2}} X \sigma^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

For powers of the weighting operator we set

$$\Gamma_\sigma^p(X) = \sigma^{\frac{p}{2}} X \sigma^{\frac{p}{2}}$$

for $p \in \mathbb{R}$ and $X \in \mathcal{M}_d$. We define the so called **power operator** $I_{p,q} : \mathcal{M}_d \to \mathcal{M}_d$ as

$$I_{p,q}(X) = \Gamma_\sigma^{-\frac{1}{p}} \left(\left| \Gamma_\sigma^{\frac{1}{q}}(X) \right|^{\frac{q}{p}} \right) \tag{6}$$

for $X \in \mathcal{M}_d$. It can be verified that

$$\|I_{p,q}(X)\|_{p,\sigma}^p = \|X\|_{q,\sigma}^q$$

for any $X \in \mathcal{M}_d$. As in the commutative theory, the noncommutative l_2-space turns out to be a Hilbert space, where the **weighted scalar product** is given by

$$\langle X, Y \rangle_\sigma = \operatorname{tr}\left[\Gamma_\sigma(X) Y\right] \tag{7}$$

for $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}_d$. With the above notions we can express the sandwiched p-Rényi divergence (2) for $p > 1$ in terms of a noncommutative l_p-norm as

$$D_p(\rho\|\sigma) = \frac{1}{p-1} \log\left(\|\Gamma_\sigma^{-1}(\rho)\|_{p,\sigma}^p \right). \tag{8}$$

For any state $\rho \in \mathcal{D}_d$ the positive matrix $\Gamma_\sigma^{-1}(\rho) \in \mathcal{M}_d$ is also called the **relative density** of ρ with respect to σ. Note that any $X \geq 0$ with $\|X\|_{1,\sigma} = 1$ can be written as $X = \Gamma_\sigma^{-1}(\rho)$ for some state $\rho \in \mathcal{D}_d$. When the reference state is clear we will refer to a relative density as an operator satisfying these properties.

2.2. Quantum dynamical semigroups

A family of quantum channels, i.e. trace-preserving completely positive maps, $\{T_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}_0^+}$, $T_t : \mathcal{M}_d \to \mathcal{M}_d$, parametrized by a non-negative parameter $t \in \mathbb{R}_0^+$ is called a **quantum dynamical semigroup** iff $T_0 = \mathrm{id}_d$ (the identity map in d dimensions), $T_{t+s} = T_t \circ T_s$ for any $s, t \in \mathbb{R}_0^+$ and T_t depends continuously on t. Any quantum dynamical semigroup can be written as $T_t = e^{t\mathcal{L}}$ (see [Lin76, GKS76]) for a Liouvillian $\mathcal{L} : \mathcal{M}_d \to \mathcal{M}_d$ of the form

$$\mathcal{L}(X) = \mathcal{S}(X) - \kappa X - X\kappa^\dagger,$$

where $\kappa \in \mathcal{M}_d$ and $\mathcal{S} : \mathcal{M}_d \to \mathcal{M}_d$ is completely positive such that $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{1}_d) = \kappa + \kappa^\dagger$, where $\mathcal{S}^*$ is the adjoint of $\mathcal{S}$ with respect to the Hilbert-Schmidt scalar product. We will also deal with tensor powers of semigroups. For a quantum dynamical semigroup $\{T_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+}$ with Liouvillian $\mathcal{L}$ we denote by $\mathcal{L}^{(n)}$ the Liouvillian of the quantum dynamical semigroup $\{T_t^{\otimes n}\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+}$.

In the following we will consider quantum dynamical semigroups having a full rank fixed point $\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_d^+$, i.e. the Liouvillian generating the semigroup fulfills $\mathcal{L}(\sigma) = 0$ (implying that $e^{t\mathcal{L}}(\sigma) = \sigma$ for any time $t \in \mathbb{R}_0^+$). We call a quantum dynamical semigroup (or the Liouvillian generator) **primitive** iff it has a unique full rank fixed point σ. In this case for any initial state $\rho \in \mathcal{D}_d$ we have $\rho_t = e^{t\mathcal{L}}(\rho) \to \sigma$ as $t \to \infty$ (see [BCG+13, Theorem 14]).

The notion of primitivity can also be defined for discrete semigroups of quantum channels. For a quantum channel $T : \mathcal{M}_d \to \mathcal{M}_d$ we will sometimes consider the discrete semigroup $\{T^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Similar to the continuous case we will call this semigroup (or the channel T) primitive iff there is a unique full rank state $\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_d^+$ with $\lim_{n \to \infty} T^n(\rho) = \sigma$ for any $\rho \in \mathcal{D}_d$. We refer to [BCG+13] for other characterizations of primitive channels and sufficient conditions for primitivity.

To study the convergence of a primitive semigroup to its fixed point σ we introduce the time evolution of the relative density $X_t = \Gamma_\sigma^{-1}(\rho_t)$. For any Liouvillian $\mathcal{L} : \mathcal{M}_d \to \mathcal{M}_d$ with full rank fixed point $\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_d^+$ define

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}} = \Gamma_\sigma^{-1} \circ \mathcal{L} \circ \Gamma_\sigma \tag{9}$$

to be the generator of the time evolution of the relative density. Indeed it can be checked that

$$X_t = \Gamma_\sigma^{-1}\left(e^{t\mathcal{L}}(\rho)\right) = e^{t\hat{\mathcal{L}}}(X)$$

for any state $\rho \in \mathcal{D}_d$ and relative density $X = \Gamma_\sigma^{-1}(\rho)$. Note that $\|X_t\|_{1,\sigma} = \|X\|_{1,\sigma} = 1$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_0^+$. Clearly the semigroup generated by $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$ is completely positive and unital, but it is not trace-preserving in general. In the special case where

$$\Gamma_\sigma^{-1} \circ \mathcal{L} \circ \Gamma_\sigma = \mathcal{L}^*, \tag{10}$$

the map $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$ generates the adjoint of the initial semigroup, i.e. the corresponding time evolution in Heisenberg picture. A semigroup fulfilling (10) is called **reversible** (or said to fulfill **detailed balance**), and in this case the Liouvillian $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$ is a Hermitian operator w.r.t. the σ-weighted scalar product. For discrete semigroups we similarly set $\hat{T} = \Gamma_\sigma^{-1} \circ T \circ \Gamma_\sigma$.

2.3. Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities and the spectral gap

To study hypercontractive properties and convergence times of primitive quantum dynamical semigroups the framework of logarithmic Sobolev inequalities has been developed in [OZ99, KT13]. Here we will briefly introduce this theory. For more details and proofs see [OZ99, KT13] and the references therein.

We define the **operator valued relative entropy** (for $p > 1$) of $X \in \mathcal{M}_d^+$ as

$$S_p(X) = -p\frac{d}{ds} I_{p+s,p}(X)\,|_{s=0}. \tag{11}$$

With this we can define the p-relative entropy:

Definition 2.2 (p-relative entropy)**.** *For any full rank $\sigma \in \mathcal{M}_d^+$ and $p > 1$ we define the **p-relative entropy** of $X \in \mathcal{M}_d^+$ as*

$$Ent_{p,\sigma}(X) = \langle I_{q,p}(X), S_p(X)\rangle_\sigma - \|X\|_{p,\sigma}^p \log\left(\|X\|_{p,\sigma}\right), \tag{12}$$

where $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{p} = 1$. For $p = 1$ we can consistently define

$$Ent_{1,\sigma}(X) = tr[\Gamma_\sigma(X)\left(\log\left(\Gamma_\sigma(X)\right) - \log(\sigma)\right)].$$

by taking the limit $p \to 1$.

The p-relative entropy is not a divergence in the information-theoretic sense, as e.g. it is not contractive under quantum channels, and has been introduced to study hypercontractive properties of semigroups in [OZ99]. There is however a connection to the quantum relative entropy as

$$\mathrm{Ent}_{p,\sigma}\left(I_{p,1}\left(\Gamma_\sigma^{-1}(\rho)\right)\right) = \frac{1}{p} D(\rho\|\sigma).$$

As a special case of the last equation we have

$$\mathrm{Ent}_{1,\sigma}\left(\Gamma_\sigma^{-1}(\rho)\right) = D(\rho\|\sigma).$$

We may also use it to obtain an expression for $\mathrm{Ent}_{2,\sigma}$:

$$\mathrm{Ent}_{2,\sigma}(X) = \mathrm{tr}\left[\left(\Gamma_\sigma^{\frac{1}{2}}(X)\right)^2 \log\left(\frac{\Gamma_\sigma^{\frac{1}{2}}(X)}{\|X\|_{2,\sigma}}\right)\right] - \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\left[\left(\Gamma_\sigma^{\frac{1}{2}}(X)\right)^2 \log(\sigma)\right].$$

We also need Dirichlet forms to define logarithmic Sobolev inequalities:

Definition 2.3 (Dirichlet form)**.** *Let $\mathcal{L} : \mathcal{M}_d \to \mathcal{M}_d$ be a Liouvillian with full rank fixed point $\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_d^+$. For $p > 1$ we define the p-**Dirichlet form** of $X \in \mathcal{M}_d^+$ as*

$$\mathcal{E}_p^{\mathcal{L}}(X) = -\frac{p}{2(p-1)} \left\langle I_{q,p}(X), \hat{\mathcal{L}}(X) \right\rangle_\sigma$$

where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ and $\hat{\mathcal{L}} = \Gamma_\sigma^{-1} \circ \mathcal{L} \circ \Gamma_\sigma$ denotes the generator of the time evolution of the relative density (cf. (9)). For $p = 1$ we may take the limit $p \to 1$ and consistently define the 1-Dirichlet form by

$$\mathcal{E}_1^{\mathcal{L}}(X) = -\frac{1}{2} tr \left[\Gamma_\sigma \left(\hat{\mathcal{L}}(X) \right) \left(\log \left(\Gamma_\sigma (X) \right) - \log(\sigma) \right) \right].$$

Note that we defined the Dirichlet forms in terms of the generator $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$ of the time evolution of the relative density. Formally, by making this choice we introduce the logarithmic Sobolev framework for $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$ instead of $\mathcal{L}^*$. While this is a slightly different definition compared to [KT13], where the Heisenberg picture is used, they are the same for reversible Liouvillians.

Notice that when working with $\mathcal{E}_2^{\mathcal{L}}$ we may always suppose the Liouvillian is reversible without loss of generality. This follows from the fact that

$$\mathcal{E}_2^{\mathcal{L}}(X) = -\left\langle X, \hat{\mathcal{L}}(X) \right\rangle_\sigma$$

is invariant under the additive symmetrization $\hat{\mathcal{L}} \mapsto \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathcal{L}^* + \Gamma_\sigma \circ \mathcal{L} \circ \Gamma_\sigma^{-1} \right)$ for $X \geq 0$.

We can now introduce the logarithmic Sobolev constants:

Definition 2.4 (Logarithmic Sobolev constants)**.** *For a Liouvillian $\mathcal{L} : \mathcal{M}_d \to \mathcal{M}_d$ with full rank fixed point $\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_d^+$ and $p \geq 1$ the p-**logarithmic Sobolev constant** is defined as*

$$\alpha_p(\mathcal{L}) = \sup\{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+ : \alpha Ent_p(X) \leq \mathcal{E}_p^{\mathcal{L}}(X) \text{ for all } X > 0\} \tag{13}$$

Again, as the l.h.s. of the inequality in (13) does not depend on $\mathcal{L}$ and for $p = 2$ it is given by $\mathcal{E}_2^{\mathcal{L}}$, we may always assume w.l.o.g. that the Liouvillian is reversible when working α_2.

For any $X \in \mathcal{M}_d^+$ we can define its **variance** with respect to $\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_d^+$ as

$$\mathrm{Var}_\sigma(X) = \|X\|_{2,\sigma}^2 - \|X\|_{1,\sigma}^2. \tag{14}$$

This defines a distance measure to study the convergence of the semigroup. Given a Liouvillian $\mathcal{L} : \mathcal{M}_d \to \mathcal{M}_d$ with fixed point $\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_d^+$ we define its **spectral gap** as

$$\lambda(\mathcal{L}) = \sup\left\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+ : \lambda \mathrm{Var}_\sigma(X) \leq \mathcal{E}_2^{\mathcal{L}}(X) \text{ for all } X > 0\right\} \tag{15}$$

where $\hat{\mathcal{L}} : \mathcal{M}_d \to \mathcal{M}_d$ is given by (9). We can always assume the Liouvillian to be reversible when dealing with the spectral gap, as it again depends on $\mathcal{E}_2^{\mathcal{L}}$.

The spectral gap can be used to bound the convergence in the variance (see [TKR$^+$10]), as for any $X \in \mathcal{M}_d^+$ we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathrm{Var}(X_t) = -2\left\langle \hat{\mathcal{L}}(X), X \right\rangle_\sigma \tag{16}$$

and so

$$\mathrm{Var}(X_t) \leq e^{-\lambda t}\mathrm{Var}(X). \tag{17}$$

3. Convergence rates for sandwiched Rényi divergences

In this section we consider the sandwiched Rényi divergences of a state evolving under a primitive quantum dynamical semigroup and the fixed point of this semigroup. It is clear that these quantities converge to zero as the time-evolved state approaches the fixed point. To study the speed of this convergence we introduce a differential inequality, which can be seen as an analogue of the logarithmic Sobolev inequalities for sandwiched Rényi divergences.

3.1. Rényi-entropy production

In [Spo78] the entropy production for the quantum Kullback-Leibler divergence of a Liouvillian was computed. We will now derive a similar expression for the entropy production for the p-Rényi divergences.

Theorem 3.1 (Derivative of the sandwiched p-Rényi divergence)**.** *Let $\mathcal{L} : \mathcal{M}_d \to \mathcal{M}_d$ be a Liouvillian with full rank fixed point $\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_d^+$. For any $\rho \in \mathcal{D}_d$ and $p > 1$ we have*

$$\frac{d}{dt} D_p(e^{t\mathcal{L}}(\rho)\|\sigma)\Big|_{t=0} = \frac{p}{p-1} \frac{tr\left[\left(\sigma^{\frac{1-p}{2p}} \rho \sigma^{\frac{1-p}{2p}}\right)^{p-1} \sigma^{\frac{1-p}{2p}} \mathcal{L}(\rho) \sigma^{\frac{1-p}{2p}}\right]}{tr\left[\left(\sigma^{\frac{1-p}{2p}} \rho \sigma^{\frac{1-p}{2p}}\right)^{p}\right]}. \tag{18}$$

Using the relative density $X = \Gamma_\sigma^{-1}(\rho)$ and (9) this expression can be written as:

$$\frac{d}{dt} D_p(e^{t\mathcal{L}}(\rho)\|\sigma)\Big|_{t=0} = \frac{p}{p-1} \|X\|_{p,\sigma}^{-p} \left\langle I_{q,p}(X), \hat{\mathcal{L}}(X) \right\rangle_\sigma \tag{19}$$

with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$.

Proof. Rewriting the p-Rényi divergence in terms of the relative density $X = \Gamma_\sigma^{-1}(\rho)$ and the corresponding generator $\hat{\mathcal{L}} = \Gamma_\sigma^{-1} \circ \mathcal{L} \circ \Gamma_\sigma$ (see (9)) we have

$$D_p(e^{t\mathcal{L}}(\rho)\|\sigma) = \frac{1}{p-1} \log\left(\|e^{t\hat{\mathcal{L}}}(X)\|_{p,\sigma}^p\right). \tag{20}$$

By the chain rule

$$\frac{d}{dt} D_p(e^{t\mathcal{L}}\rho\|\sigma)\Big|_{t=0} = \frac{1}{p-1} \|X\|_{p,\sigma}^{-p} \left(\frac{d}{dt} \|e^{t\hat{\mathcal{L}}}\|_{p,\sigma}^p\right)\Big|_{t=0}.$$

Define the curve $\gamma : \mathbb{R}_0^+ \to \mathcal{M}_d$ as $\gamma(t) = \sigma^{\frac{1}{2p}} e^{t\hat{\mathcal{L}}}(X) \sigma^{\frac{1}{2p}}$ and observe that

$$\|e^{t\hat{\mathcal{L}}}(X)\|_{p,\sigma}^p = \mathrm{tr}[\gamma(t)^p].$$

As the differential of the function $X \mapsto \mathrm{tr}[X^p]$ at $A \in \mathcal{M}_d$ is given by pA^{p-1}, another application of the chain rule yields

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|e^{t\hat{\mathcal{L}}}\|_{p,\sigma}^p\Big|_{t=0} = p \left\langle \gamma(0)^{p-1}, \frac{d\gamma}{dt}(0) \right\rangle.$$

It is easy to check that $\frac{d\gamma}{dt}(0) = \sigma^{\frac{1}{2p}} \hat{\mathcal{L}}(\rho) \sigma^{\frac{1}{2p}}$. Inserting this in the above equations and writing it in terms of the power operator (6) we finally obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} D_p(e^{t\mathcal{L}}(\rho)\|\sigma)\Big|_{t=0} = \frac{p}{p-1} \|X\|_{p,\sigma}^{-p} \left\langle I_{q,p}(X), \hat{\mathcal{L}}(X) \right\rangle_\sigma$$

with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Expanding this formula gives (18).

□

By recognizing the p-Dirichlet form in the previous theorem we get:

Corollary 3.1. *Let $\mathcal{L} : \mathcal{M}_d \to \mathcal{M}_d$ be a Liouvillian with full rank fixed point $\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_d^+$. For any $\rho \in \mathcal{D}_d$ and $p > 1$ we have*

$$\frac{d}{dt} D_p(e^{t\mathcal{L}}(\rho)\|\sigma)\Big|_{t=0} = -2\|X\|_{p,\sigma}^{-p} \mathcal{E}_p^{\mathcal{L}}(X) \leq 0, \tag{21}$$

where we used the relative density $X = \Gamma_\sigma^{-1}(\rho)$.

3.2. Sandwiched Rényi convergence rates

For any $p > 1$ we introduce the functional $\kappa_p : \mathcal{M}_d^+ \to \mathbb{R}$ as

$$\kappa_p(X) = \frac{1}{p-1}\|X\|_{p,\sigma}^p \log\left(\frac{\|X\|_{p,\sigma}^p}{\|X\|_{1,\sigma}^p}\right) \tag{22}$$

for $X \in \mathcal{M}_d^+$. For $p = 1$ we may again take the limit $p \to 1$ and obtain $\kappa_1(X) := \lim_{p\to 1} \kappa_p(X) = \mathrm{Ent}_{1,\sigma}(X)$. Note that κ_p is well-defined and non-negative as $\|X\|_{p,\sigma} \geq \|X\|_{1,\sigma}$ for $p \geq 1$. Strictly speaking the definition also depends on a reference state $\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_d^+$, which we usually omit as it is always the fixed point of the primitive Liouvillian under consideration.

Given a Liouvillian $\mathcal{L} : \mathcal{M}_d \to \mathcal{M}_d$ with full rank fixed point $\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_d^+$ it is a simple consequence of Corollary 3.1 that for $\rho \neq \sigma$

$$\frac{\frac{d}{dt}D_p(e^{t\mathcal{L}}(\rho)\|\sigma)\Big|_{t=0}}{D_p(\rho\|\sigma)} = -2\frac{\mathcal{E}_p^{\mathcal{L}}(X)}{\kappa_p(X)}, \tag{23}$$

where we used the relative density $X = \Gamma_\sigma^{-1}(\rho)$, which fulfills $\|X\|_{1,\sigma} = 1$. This motivates the following definition.

Definition 3.1 (Entropic convergence constant for p-Rényi divergence)**.** *For any primitive Liouvillian $\mathcal{L} : \mathcal{M}_d \to \mathcal{M}_d$ and $p \geq 1$ we define*

$$\beta_p(\mathcal{L}) = \sup\{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^+ : \beta\kappa_p(X) \leq \mathcal{E}_p^{\mathcal{L}}(X) \text{ for all } X > 0\}. \tag{24}$$

Note that as a special case we have $\alpha_1(\mathcal{L}) = \beta_1(\mathcal{L})$. It should be also emphasized that the supremum in the previous definition goes over any positive definite $X \in \mathcal{M}_d^+$ and not only over relative densities. However, it is easy to see that we can equivalently write

$$\beta_p(\mathcal{L}) = \inf\left\{\frac{\mathcal{E}_p^{\mathcal{L}}(X)}{\kappa_p(X)} : X > 0\right\} = \inf\left\{\frac{\mathcal{E}_p^{\mathcal{L}}(X)}{\kappa_p(X)} : X > 0, \|X\|_{1,\sigma} = 1\right\} \tag{25}$$

as replacing $X \mapsto X/\|X\|_{1,\sigma}$ does not change the value of the quotient $\mathcal{E}_p^{\mathcal{L}}(X)/\kappa_p(X)$. Therefore, to compute β_p it is enough to optimize over relative densities (i.e. $X > 0$ fulfilling $\|X\|_{1,\sigma} = 1$). By inserting β_p into (23) we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}D_p(e^{t\mathcal{L}}(\rho)\|\sigma) \leq -2\beta_p(\mathcal{L})D_p(\rho\|\sigma)$$

for any $\rho \in \mathcal{D}_d$ and Liouvillian $\mathcal{L} : \mathcal{M}_d \to \mathcal{M}_d$ with full rank fixed point $\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_d^+$. By integrating this differential inequality we get

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\mathcal{L} : \mathcal{M}_d \to \mathcal{M}_d$ be a Liouvillian with full rank fixed point $\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_d^+$. For any $p \geq 1$ and $\rho \in \mathcal{D}_d$ we have*

$$D_p\left(e^{t\mathcal{L}}(\rho)\|\sigma\right) \leq e^{-2\beta_p(\mathcal{L})t}D_p(\rho\|\sigma) \tag{26}$$

where $\beta_p(\mathcal{L})$ is the constant defined in (24)*.*

3.3. Computing β_p in simple cases

In general it is not clear how to compute β_p and it does not depend on spectral data of $\mathcal{L}$ alone. This is not surprising as the computation of the usual logarithmic Sobolev constants α_2 or α_1 is also challenging and the exact values are only known for few Liouvillians [DSC96, KT13, MHSFW16]. In the following we compute β_2 for the depolarizing semigroups.

Theorem 3.3 (β_2 for the depolarizing Liouvillian)**.** *Let $\mathcal{L}_\sigma : \mathcal{M}_d \to \mathcal{M}_d$ denote the depolarizing Liouvillian given by $\mathcal{L}_\sigma(\rho) = tr(\rho)\,\sigma - \rho$ with fixed point $\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_d^+$. Then*

$$\beta_2(\mathcal{L}_\sigma) = \frac{1 - \frac{1}{\|\sigma^{-1}\|_\infty}}{\log\left(\|\sigma^{-1}\|_\infty\right)}. \tag{27}$$

Proof. Without loss of generality we can restrict to $X > 0$ with $\|X\|_{1,\sigma} = 1$ in the minimization (25). Observe that the generator of the time evolution of the relative density (see (9)) for the depolarizing Liouvillian is

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_\sigma(X) = \mathrm{tr}\left(\sigma^{\frac{1}{2}} X \sigma^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \mathbb{1} - X.$$

An easy computation yields $\mathcal{E}_2^{\mathcal{L}_\sigma}(X) = \|X\|_{2,\sigma}^2 - 1$ and so

$$\frac{\mathcal{E}_2^{\mathcal{L}_\sigma}(X)}{\kappa_2(X)} = \frac{1 - \frac{1}{\|X\|_{2,\sigma}^2}}{\log\left(\|X\|_{2,\sigma}^2\right)}.$$

As the function $x \mapsto \frac{1-\frac{1}{x}}{\log(x)}$ is monotone decreasing for $x \geq 1$, we have

$$\inf_{X>0} \frac{\mathcal{E}_2^{\mathcal{L}_\sigma}(X)}{\kappa_2(X)} = \frac{1 - \frac{1}{\|\sigma^{-1}\|_\infty}}{\log\left(\|\sigma^{-1}\|_\infty\right)}, \tag{28}$$

where we used

$$\sup_{X \geq 0, \|X\|_{1,\sigma}=1} \|X\|_{2,\sigma}^2 = \|\sigma^{-1}\|_\infty.$$

□

Computing β_p for $p \neq 2$ seems not to be straightforward even for depolarizing channels, but for the semigroup depolarizing to the maximally mixed state we can at least provide upper and lower bounds.

Theorem 3.4 (β_p for the Liouvillian depolarizing to the maximally mixed state)**.** *Let $\mathcal{L}(\rho) = tr(\rho)\frac{\mathbb{1}}{d} - \rho$. For $p \geq 2$ we have*

$$\frac{p}{2(p-1)} \frac{1}{\log(d)} \geq \beta_p(\mathcal{L}) \geq \frac{p}{2(p-1)} \frac{d^{\frac{p-1}{p}} - 1}{d^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \log(d)}.$$

Proof. The Dirichlet Form of this Liouvillian for $X > 0$ with $\|X\|_{1,\frac{\mathbb{1}}{d}} = 1$ is given by

$$\mathcal{E}_p^{\mathcal{L}}(X) = \frac{p}{2(p-1)} (\|X\|_{p,\frac{\mathbb{1}}{d}}^p - \|X\|_{p-1,\frac{\mathbb{1}}{d}}^{p-1}).$$

Dividing this expression by $\kappa_p(X)$ we get

$$\frac{\mathcal{E}_p^{\mathcal{L}}(X)}{\kappa_p(X)} = \frac{1 - \frac{\|X\|_{p-1,\frac{\mathbb{1}}{d}}^{p-1}}{\|X\|_{p,\frac{\mathbb{1}}{d}}^{p}}}{2\log\left(\|X\|_{p,\frac{\mathbb{1}}{d}}\right)}. \tag{29}$$

By the monotonicity of the weighted norms, we have

$$\frac{\|X\|_{p-1,\frac{\mathbb{1}}{d}}^{p-1}}{\|X\|_{p,\frac{\mathbb{1}}{d}}^{p}} \leq \frac{1}{\|X\|_{p,\frac{\mathbb{1}}{d}}}$$

and so

$$\frac{\mathcal{E}_p^{\mathcal{L}}(X)}{\kappa_p(X)} \geq \frac{\|X\|_{p,\frac{1}{d}} - 1}{2\|X\|_{p,\frac{1}{d}} \log\left(\|X\|_{p,\frac{1}{d}}\right)} \tag{30}$$

The expression on the right-hand side of (30) is monotone decreasing in $\|X\|_{p,\frac{1}{d}}$ and so the infimum is attained at

$$\sup_{\|X\|_{1,\frac{1}{d}}=1} \|X\|_{p,\frac{1}{d}} = d^{\frac{p-1}{p}}.$$

The upper bound follows from (29) as

$$\frac{\mathcal{E}_p^{\mathcal{L}}(X)}{\kappa_p(X)} \leq \frac{1}{2\log\left(\|X\|_{p,\frac{1}{d}}\right)}.$$

which is again monotone decreasing in $\|X\|_{p,\frac{1}{d}}$. □

4. Comparison with similar quantities

4.1. Comparison with spectral gap

Here we show how β_p, see (24), compares to the spectral gap (15) of a Liouvillian.

Theorem 4.1 (Upper bound spectral gap)**.** *Let $\mathcal{L} : \mathcal{M}_d \to \mathcal{M}_d$ be a primitive and reversible Liouvillian with full rank fixed point $\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_d^+$ and $p \geq 1$. Then*

$$\beta_p(\mathcal{L}) \leq \lambda(\mathcal{L}). \tag{31}$$

Proof. Let $(s_i)_{i=1}^d$ denote the spectrum of $\sigma^{1/p}$ and choose a unitary U such that

$$\sigma^{1/p} = U \mathrm{diag}(s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_d) U^\dagger.$$

As $\mathcal{L}$ is reversible, there is a self-adjoint eigenvector $X \in \mathcal{M}_d$ of $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$ corresponding to the spectral gap, i.e. $\hat{\mathcal{L}}(X) = -\lambda(\mathcal{L})X$. Let $\epsilon_0 > 0$ be small enough such that $Y_\epsilon = \mathbb{1}_d + \epsilon X$ is positive for any $\epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$. For $\epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$ we use Lemma A.1 of the appendix to show

$$\beta_p(\mathcal{L}) \leq \frac{\mathcal{E}_p^{\mathcal{L}}(Y_\epsilon)}{\kappa_p(Y_\epsilon)} = \frac{\lambda(\mathcal{L})\frac{p}{2(p-1)}\left(2\epsilon^2 \sum_{1\leq i\leq j\leq d} f_p(s_i,s_j) b_{ij} b_{ji} + O(\epsilon^3)\right)}{\frac{\epsilon^2}{p-1}\left(p\sum_{1\leq i\leq j\leq d} f_p(s_i,s_j) b_{ij} b_{ji}\right) + O(\epsilon^3)} \tag{32}$$

where $b_{ij} = (U^\dagger \sigma^{1/2p} X \sigma^{1/2p} U)_{ij}$ and

$$f_p(x,y) = \begin{cases} (p-1)x^{p-2} & \text{if } x = y \\ \frac{x^{p-1}-y^{p-1}}{x-y} & \text{else.} \end{cases} \tag{33}$$

Observe that $f_p(s_i, s_j) > 0$ for $s_i, s_j > 0$. Moreover, as $U^\dagger \sigma^{1/2p} X \sigma^{1/2p} U$ is non-zero and self-adjoint we have $b_{ij} b_{ji} \geq 0$ for all i, j and this inequality is strict for at least one choice of i, j. Therefore, the terms of second order in ϵ in the numerator and denominator of (32) are strictly positive, and we obtain $\lambda(\mathcal{L})$ as the limit of the quotient as $\epsilon \to 0$.

□

A similar argument as the one given in the previous proof shows that all real, nonzero elements of the spectrum of $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$ are upper bounds to β_p without invoking reversibility.

Note that in the case of $p = 2$ (see the discussion after (13)) we may assume that the Liouvillian is reversible without loss of generality and drop the requirement of reversibility in the previous theorem for $p = 2$. Alternatively, we can obtain the same statement directly from a simple functional inequality. In this case we can also give a lower bound on β_2 in terms of the spectral gap.

Theorem 4.2 (Upper and lower bound for β_2)**.** *Let $\mathcal{L} : \mathcal{M}_d \to \mathcal{M}_d$ be a primitive Liouvillian with full rank fixed point $\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_d^+$. Then*

$$\lambda(\mathcal{L}) \frac{1 - \frac{1}{\|\sigma^{-1}\|_\infty}}{\log(\|\sigma^{-1}\|_\infty)} \leq \beta_2(\mathcal{L}) \leq \lambda(\mathcal{L}). \tag{34}$$

To prove Theorem 4.2 we need the following Lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *For any $X \in \mathcal{M}_d$ we have*

$$Var_\sigma(X) \leq \kappa_2(X).$$

Proof. For $X > 0$ dividing both sides of the inequality by $\|X\|_{1,\sigma}^2$ yields

$$\frac{\|X\|_{2,\sigma}^2}{\|X\|_{1,\sigma}^2} - 1 \leq \frac{\|X\|_{2,\sigma}^2}{\|X\|_{1,\sigma}^2} \log\left(\frac{\|X\|_{2,\sigma}^2}{\|X\|_{1,\sigma}^2}\right).$$

This follows from the elementary inequality $x - 1 \leq x\log(x)$ for $x \geq 1$, where we use the ordering $\|X\|_{2,\sigma} \geq \|X\|_{1,\sigma}$ for any $X \in \mathcal{M}_d$.

□

Proof of Theorem 4.2. Using the definition of β_2 (see (24)) and Lemma 4.1 yields

$$\beta_2 \mathrm{Var}_\sigma(X) \leq \beta_2 \kappa_2(X) \leq \mathcal{E}_2^{\mathcal{L}}(X).$$

Now the variational definition of $\lambda(\mathcal{L})$ (see (15)) implies the second inequality of (34).

To prove the first inequality of (34) consider the depolarizing Liouvillian

$$\mathcal{L}_\sigma(X) = \mathrm{tr}(X)\sigma - X.$$

By Theorem 3.3 we have

$$\frac{1 - \frac{1}{\|\sigma^{-1}\|_\infty}}{\log(\|\sigma^{-1}\|_\infty)} \kappa_2(X) \leq \mathcal{E}_2^{\mathcal{L}_\sigma}(X)$$

As $\mathcal{E}_2^{\mathcal{L}_\sigma}(X) = \mathrm{Var}_\sigma(X)$, we have $\mathcal{E}_2^{\mathcal{L}_\sigma}(X) \leq \frac{1}{\lambda(\mathcal{L})} \mathcal{E}_2^{\mathcal{L}}(X)$ by the variational definition of $\lambda(\mathcal{L})$ (see (15)). Inserting this in the above inequality finishes the proof.

□

4.2. Comparison with logarithmic Sobolev constants

Here we show how β_p, see (24), compares to the logarithmic Sobolev constant α_p.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $\mathcal{L} : \mathcal{M}_d \to \mathcal{M}_d$ be a primitive Liouvillian with full rank fixed point $\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_d^+$. Then for any $p \geq 1$ we have*

$$\beta_p(\mathcal{L}) \geq \frac{\alpha_p(\mathcal{L})}{p}. \tag{35}$$

We will need the following Lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *For any full rank state $\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_d^+$, any $p > 1$ and $X \in \mathcal{M}_d^+$ with $\|X\|_{1,\sigma} = 1$ we have*

$$Ent_{p,\sigma}(X) \geq \frac{\kappa_p(X)}{p}. \tag{36}$$

Proof. The function $p \mapsto D_p(\rho\|\sigma)$ is monotonically increasing [MLDS+13, Bei13] and differentiable (as the noncommutative l_p-norm is differentiable in p [OZ99, Theorem 2.7]). Thus, with $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}$ given by $f(t) = t + p$ we have

$$0 \leq \|X\|_{p,\sigma}^p \frac{d}{dt}\left(D_{f(t)}(\rho\|\sigma)\right)\Big|_{t=0} = -\frac{1}{(p-1)^2}\|X\|_{p,\sigma}^p \log\left(\|X\|_{p,\sigma}^p\right) + \frac{1}{p-1}\frac{d}{dt}\left(\|X\|_{f(t),\sigma}^{f(t)}\right)\Big|_{t=0}.$$

where we used the relative density $X = \Gamma_\sigma^{-1}(\rho)$. The remaining derivative in the above equation has been computed in [OZ99, Theorem 2.7] and we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\|X\|_{f(t),\sigma}^{f(t)}\right)\Big|_{t=0} = \langle I_{q,p}(X), S_p(X)\rangle_\sigma$$

with the operator valued entropy S_p defined in (11) and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Inserting this expression in the above equation we obtain

$$\frac{1}{p-1}\|X\|_{p,\sigma}^p \log\left(\|X\|_{p,\sigma}^p\right) \leq \langle I_{q,p}(X), S_p(X)\rangle_\sigma . \tag{37}$$

for any $X \in \mathcal{M}_d^+$ with $\|X\|_{1,\sigma} = 1$, i.e. for any $X = \Gamma_\sigma^{-1}(\rho)$ for some state $\rho \in \mathcal{D}_d$. Now we get

$$\begin{aligned} p\mathrm{Ent}_{p,\sigma}(X) &= p\langle I_{q,p}(X), S_p(X)\rangle_\sigma - \|X\|_{p,\sigma}^p \log(\|X\|_{p,\sigma}^p) \\ &\geq \frac{p}{p-1}\|X\|_{p,\sigma}^p \log(\|X\|_{p,\sigma}^p) - \|X\|_{p,\sigma}^p \log(\|X\|_{p,\sigma}^p) \\ &= \kappa_p(X) \end{aligned}$$

where we used (37).

□

Proof of Theorem 4.3. There is nothing to show for $p = 1$ as $\alpha_1(\mathcal{L}) = \beta_1(\mathcal{L})$ and we can assume $p > 1$. For $X \in \mathcal{M}_d^+$ with $\|X\|_{1,\sigma} = 1$ we can use Lemma 4.2 and the definition of $\alpha_p(\mathcal{L})$ to compute

$$\frac{\alpha_p(\mathcal{L})}{p}\kappa_p(X) \leq \alpha_p(\mathcal{L})\,\mathrm{Ent}_p(X) \leq \mathcal{E}_p^{\mathcal{L}}(X).$$

By the variational definition (24) of β_p the claim follows. □

Theorem 4.3 will be applied in Section 5 to obtain bounds on the mixing time of a Liouvillian with a positive logarithmic Sobolev constant without invoking any form of l_p-regularity (see [KT13]). As usually a log Sobolev is implied by a hypercontractive inequality[OZ99], we would like to remark that one can also a similar statement as that of Theorem 4.3 from a hypercontractive inequality. One can easily show that

$$||e^{t\hat{\mathcal{L}}}||_{p(t)\to p,\sigma} \leq 1 \tag{38}$$

for $p(t) = (p-1)e^{-\alpha_p t} + 1$ implies that $\beta_p(\mathcal{L}) \geq \frac{\alpha_p}{p}$.

5. Mixing times

In this section we will introduce the quantities of interest and prove the building blocks to prove mixing times from the entropy production inequalities of the last sections, distinguishing between continuous and discrete time semigroups. We will mostly focus on β_2, as this seems to be the most relevant constant for mixing time applications. This is justified by the fact that the underlying Dirichlet form is a quadratic form and the entropy related to it stems from a Hilbert space norm. Moreover, as the same Dirichlet form is also involved in computations of the spectral gap, it could be easier to already adapt existing techniques, such as the ones developed in [Tem13].

Definition 5.1 (Mixing times). *For either $I = \mathbb{R}^+$ or $I = \mathbb{N}$ let $\{T_t\}_{t\in I}$ be a primitive semigroup of quantum channels with fixed point $\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_d^+$. We define the l_1* ***mixing time*** *for $\epsilon > 0$ as*

$$t_1(\epsilon) = \inf\{t \in I \ : \ \|T_t(\rho) - \sigma\|_1 \leq \epsilon \text{ for all } \rho \in \mathcal{D}_d\}.$$

Similarly we define the l_2 ***mixing time*** *for $\epsilon > 0$ as*

$$t_2(\epsilon) = \inf\{t \in I \ : \ Var\left(\hat{T}_t(X)\right) \leq \epsilon \text{ for all } X \in \mathcal{M}_d^+ \text{ with } \|X\|_{1,\sigma} = 1\}.$$

In the continuous case $I = \mathbb{R}^+$ we will often speak of the mixing times of the Liouvillian generator of a quantum dynamical semigroup which we identify with the mixing times of the semigroup according to the above definition.

5.1. Mixing in Continuous Time

It is now straightforward to get mixing times from the previous results.

Theorem 5.1 (Mixing time from entropy production). *Let $\mathcal{L} : \mathcal{M}_d \to \mathcal{M}_d$ be a primitive Liouvillian with fixed point $\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_d^+$. Then*

$$t_1(\epsilon) \leq \frac{1}{2\beta_p(\mathcal{L})} \log\left(\frac{2\log\left(\|\sigma^{-1}\|_\infty\right)}{\epsilon^2}\right).$$

Proof. From (4) and Lemma 2.1 we have

$$\log\left(\|\sigma^{-1}\|_\infty\right) e^{-2\beta_p(\mathcal{L})t} \geq \frac{1}{2}\|e^{t\mathcal{L}}(\rho) - \sigma\|_1^2. \tag{39}$$

for any $\rho \in \mathcal{D}_d$. The claim follows after rearranging the terms. □

Using Theorem 4.3 we can lower bound β_p in terms of the usual logarithmic Sobolev constant α_p. Combining this with Theorem 5.1 shows the following Corollary.

Corollary 5.1 (Mixing time bound from logarithmic Sobolev inequalities). *Let $\mathcal{L} : \mathcal{M}_d \to \mathcal{M}_d$ be a primitive Liouvillian with fixed point $\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_d^+$. Then*

$$t_1(\epsilon) \leq \frac{p}{2\alpha_p(\mathcal{L})} \log\left(\frac{2\log\left(\|\sigma^{-1}\|_\infty\right)}{\epsilon^2}\right).$$

By Corollary 5.1 a nonzero logarithmic Sobolev constant always implies a nontrivial mixing time bound. Previously, this was only known under additional assumptions (specifically l_p-regularity [KT13]) on the Liouvillian in question. While these assumptions have been shown for certain classes of Liouvillians (including important examples like Davies generators and doubly stochastic Liouvillians [KT13]) they have not been shown in general. It should also be noted that in the case $p = 2$ the mixing time bound from Corollary 5.1 actually coincides with the bound obtained in [KT13] under the additional assumption of weak l_p-regularity.

The bound from Corollary 5.1 also has the right scaling properties needed in recent applications of rapid mixing, such as the results in [CLMPG15, BCL$^+$15]. In particular, together with the results in [TPK14], the last Corollary shows that the hypothesis of Theorem 4.2 in [CLMPG15] is always satisfied for product evolutions and not only for the special classes considered in [KT13].

One may also use these techniques to get mixing times in the l_2 norms which are stronger than the ones obtained just by considering that β_2 is a lower bound to the spectral gap.

Theorem 5.2 (l_2-mixing time bound). *Let $\mathcal{L} : \mathcal{M}_d \to \mathcal{M}_d$ be a Liouvillian with fixed point $\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_d^+$. Then*

$$t_2(\epsilon) \leq \frac{1}{2\beta_2(\mathcal{L})} \log\left(\frac{\log\left(\|\sigma^{-1}\|_\infty\right)}{\log(1+\epsilon)}\right). \tag{40}$$

Proof. For $X > 0$ with $\|X\|_{1,\sigma} = 1$ we have $\mathrm{Var}(X) = \|X - \mathbb{1}\|_{2,\sigma}^2 = \|X\|_{2,\sigma}^2 - 1$ and thus

$$\kappa_2(X) = (1 + \mathrm{Var}(X)) \log (1 + \mathrm{Var}(X)) .$$

In the following let $X_t = e^{t\hat{\mathcal{L}}}(X)$ denote the time evolution of the relative density X. Using (16) and the definition of $\beta_2(\mathcal{L})$ (see (24)) we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathrm{Var}(X_t) = -2\mathcal{E}_2^{\mathcal{L}}(X_t) \leq -2\beta_2(\mathcal{L})(1 + \mathrm{Var}(X_t)) \log(1 + \mathrm{Var}(X_t)).$$

Integrating this differential inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \log\left(\frac{\log(1 + \mathrm{Var}(X))}{\log(1+\epsilon)}\right) &\leq \int_0^{t_2(\epsilon)} \frac{1}{(1 + \mathrm{Var}(X_t)) \log (1 + \mathrm{Var}(X_t))} \left[\frac{d}{dt}\mathrm{Var}(X_t)\right] dt \\ &\leq -2\beta_2 t_2(\epsilon). \end{aligned}$$

As $1 + \mathrm{Var}(X) \leq \|\sigma^{-1}\|_\infty$, the claim follows after rearranging the terms. □

In the remaining part of the section we will discuss a converse to the previous mixing time bounds, i.e. a lower bound on the logarithmic Sobolev constant in terms of a mixing time. This excludes the possibility of a reversible semigroup with both small β_2 and short mixing time with respect to the l_2 distance. For this we generalize [DSC96, Corollary 3.11] to the noncommutative setting.

Theorem 5.3 (LS inequality from l_2 mixing time)**.** *Let $\mathcal{L} : \mathcal{M}_d \to \mathcal{M}_d$ be a primitive, reversible Liouvillian with fixed point $\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_d^+$. Then*

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq \alpha_2 (\mathcal{L})\, t_2 \left(e^{-1}\right) \leq 2\beta_2 (\mathcal{L})\, t_2 \left(e^{-1}\right). \tag{41}$$

Moreover, this inequality is tight.

Proof. We refer to Appendix B for a proof. □

As remarked in [DSC96], even the classical result does not hold anymore if we drop the reversibility assumption. Therefore, this assumption is also needed in the noncommutative setting. By considering a completely depolarizing channel it is also easy to see that no such bound can hold in discrete time.

Theorem 5.3 also implies that for reversible Liouvillians β_2 and α_2 cannot differ by a large factor. More specifically we have the following corollary.

Corollary 5.2. *Let $\mathcal{L} : \mathcal{M}_d \to \mathcal{M}_d$ be a primitive, reversible Liouvillian with fixed point $\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_d^+$. Then*

$$2\beta_2(\mathcal{L}) \geq \alpha_2(\mathcal{L}) \geq \beta_2(\mathcal{L}) \log\left(\frac{\log\left(\|\sigma^{-1}\|_\infty\right)}{\log(1 + e^{-1})}\right). \tag{42}$$

Proof. We showed the first inequality in Theorem 4.3. The second inequality follows by combining (41) and (40). □

5.2. Mixing in Discrete Time

In this section we will obtain mixing time bounds and also entropic inequalities for discrete-time quantum channels $T : \mathcal{M}_d \to \mathcal{M}_d$. We will then use these techniques to derive mixing times for random local channels, which we will define next. These include channels that usually appear in quantum error correction scenarios, such as random Pauli errors on qubits [NC00, Chapter 10]. They will be based on the following quantity:

Definition 5.2. *For a primitive quantum channel $T : \mathcal{M}_d \to \mathcal{M}_d$ with full-rank fixed point $\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_d^+$, we define*

$$\beta_D(T) = \beta_2(T^*\hat{T} - id_d). \tag{43}$$

Here we used $\hat{T} = \Gamma_\sigma^{-1} \circ T \circ \Gamma_\sigma$.

The definition of $\beta_D(T)$ can be motivated by the following improved data-processing inequality for the 2-sandwiched Rényi divergence.

Theorem 5.4. *Let $T : \mathcal{M}_d \to \mathcal{M}_d$ be a primitive quantum channel with full-rank fixed point $\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_d^+$. Then for all $\rho \in \mathcal{D}_d$ we have*

$$D_2\left(T(\rho)\|\sigma\right) \leq (1 - \beta_D(T))D_2\left(\rho\|\sigma\right). \tag{44}$$

Proof. Let $X = \sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}}\rho\sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ denote the relative density of ρ with respect to σ. Observe that the 2-Dirichlet form (see Definition 2.3) of the semigroup $\mathcal{L} = T^*\hat{T} - \mathrm{id}_d$ can be written as

$$\mathcal{E}_2^{\mathcal{L}}(X) = \|X\|_{2,\sigma}^2 - \|\hat{T}(X)\|_{2,\sigma}^2.$$

From the definition of $\beta_2(\mathcal{L})$ (see (24)) it follows that

$$\|X\|_{2,\sigma}^2 - \|\hat{T}(X)\|_{2,\sigma}^2 \geq \beta_2\kappa_2(X),$$

which is equivalent to

$$\log(\|\hat{T}(X)\|_{2,\sigma}^2) - \log(\|X\|_{2,\sigma}^2) \leq \log(1 - \beta_2 \log(\|X\|_{2,\sigma}^2).$$

Using the elementary inequality $\log(1-\beta_2 \log(\|X\|_{2,\sigma}^2) \leq -\beta_2 \log(\|X\|_{2,\sigma}^2)$ and that $\log(\|\hat{T}(X)\|_{2,\sigma}^2) = D_2(T(\rho)\|\sigma)$ and $\log(\|X\|_{2,\sigma}^2) = D_2(\rho\|\sigma)$ hold, the statement of the theorem follows after rearranging the terms. □

One should note that, unlike in Theorem 3.2, the constant β_D is *not* optimal in (44). As an example take $T(\rho) = \mathrm{tr}[\rho]\frac{\mathbb{1}_d}{d}$ for which $\beta_D(T) = \frac{1-d^{-1}}{\log(d)}$, but $D_2\left(T(\rho)\|\frac{\mathbb{1}_d}{d}\right) = 0$. Also, $\beta_D(T) > 0$ is not a necessary condition for primitivity, as there are primitive quantum channels that are not strict contractions with respect to to D_2. To see this, consider the map $T : \mathcal{M}_2 \to \mathcal{M}_2$ which acts as follows on Pauli operators:

$$T(\mathbb{1}) = \mathbb{1}, \quad T(\sigma_x) = 0, \quad T(\sigma_y) = 0 \text{ and } \quad T(\sigma_z) = \sigma_x.$$

One can check that this is a a primitive quantum channel with $T^2(\rho) = \frac{\mathbb{1}}{2}$ for any state $\rho \in \mathcal{D}_d$. However, T maps the pure state $\frac{1}{2}(\mathbb{1} + \sigma_z)$ to the pure state $\frac{1}{2}(\mathbb{1} + \sigma_x)$, which implies that D_2 does not strictly contract. We can now prove the following bound on the discrete mixing time.

Theorem 5.5 (Discrete mixing time)**.** *Let $T : \mathcal{M}_d \to \mathcal{M}_d$ be a primitive quantum channel with full-rank fixed point $\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_d^+$ and $\beta_D(T) > 0$. Then*

$$t_1(\epsilon) \leq -\frac{1}{\log(1 - \beta_D(T))} \log\left(\frac{2\log\left(\|\sigma^{-1}\|_\infty\right)}{\epsilon^2}\right).$$

Proof. By Theorem 5.4 we have

$$D_2(T^n(\rho)\|\sigma) \leq (1 - \beta_D(T))^n D_2(\rho\|\sigma).$$

for any $\rho \in \mathcal{D}_d$. The claim then follows from (4) and Lemma 2.1. □

Convergence results for primitive continuous-time semigroups can often be lifted to their tensor powers. In discrete time a similar result holds for the following class of channels:

Definition 5.3 (Random Local Channels)**.** *For a quantum channel $T : \mathcal{M}_d \to \mathcal{M}_d$ and probabilities $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \ldots, p_n)$ with $p_i \geq 0$ and $\sum_i p_i = 1$ we define a* ***random local channel*** *$T_{\mathbf{p}}^{(n)} : \mathcal{M}_d^{\otimes n} \to \mathcal{M}_d^{\otimes n}$ by*

$$T_{\mathbf{p}}^{(n)} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \; id_d^{\otimes i-1} \otimes T \otimes id_d^{\otimes n-i}. \tag{45}$$

The previous definition can be generalized to the case where not all local channels are identical, i.e. if we have $T_i : \mathcal{M}_d \to \mathcal{M}_d$ acting on the ith system in the expression (45). As long as the local channels are all primitive our results also hold for this more general class of channels. However, for simplicity we will restrict here to the above definition.

Theorem 5.6. *Let $T : \mathcal{M}_d \to \mathcal{M}_d$ be a primitive quantum channel with full-rank fixed point $\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_d^+$ such that the Liouvillian $\hat{\mathcal{L}} = T^*\hat{T} - id_d$ fulfills $\beta_2\left(\mathcal{L}^{(n)}\right) \geq q$ for some $q > 0$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and probabilities $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \ldots, p_n)$ with $p_i \geq 0$ and $\sum_i p_i = 1$ we have*

$$D_2(T_{\mathbf{p}}^{(n)}(\rho)\|\sigma^{\otimes n}) \leq (1 - qp_{\min}^2) D_2(\rho\|\sigma^{\otimes n}), \tag{46}$$

for any $\rho \in \mathcal{D}_{d^n}$ and where $p_{\min} = \min p_i$.

Proof. By Theorem 5.4 it is enough to show that $\beta_D(T_{\mathbf{p}}) \geq qp_{\min}^2$.

Observe that the Dirichlet form of $(T_{\mathbf{p}}^{(n)})^*\hat{T}_{\mathbf{p}}^{(n)} - \mathrm{id}_d$ is given by

$$\mathcal{E}_2^{\mathcal{L}}(X) = \sum_{i \neq j} p_i p_j \left\langle X - T_i^*\hat{T}_j(X), X \right\rangle_{\sigma^{\otimes n}} + \sum_i p_i^2 \left\langle X - T_i^*\hat{T}_i(X), X \right\rangle_{\sigma^{\otimes n}}$$

where the map $T_i^*\hat{T}_j$ acts as T^* on the i-th system, $\hat{T}$ on the j-th and as the identity elsewhere. As $T_i^*\hat{T}_j \leq \mathrm{id}_d$ with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\sigma^{\otimes n}}$ we have

$$\mathcal{E}_2^{\mathcal{L}}(X) \geq \sum_i p_i^2 \left\langle X - T_i^*\hat{T}_i(X), X \right\rangle_{\sigma^{\otimes n}} \geq p_{\min}^2 \mathcal{E}_2^{\mathcal{L}^{(n)}}(X).$$

From the comparison inequality $\mathcal{E}_2^{\mathcal{L}} \geq p_{\min}^2 \mathcal{E}_2^{\mathcal{L}^{(n)}}$ and the assumption $\beta_2\left(\mathcal{L}^{(n)}\right) \geq q$ it then follows that $\beta_D(\Phi) \geq qp_{\min}^2$. □

As an application we can bound the entropy production and the mixing time in a system of n qubits affected (uniformly) by random Pauli errors. The time evolution of this system is given by the channel $T_n : \mathcal{M}_2^{\otimes n} \to \mathcal{M}_2^{\otimes n}$ given by

$$T_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{id}_2^{\otimes i-1} \otimes T \otimes \mathrm{id}_2^{\otimes n-i} \tag{47}$$

with $T(\rho) = \mathrm{tr}(\rho)\frac{\mathbb{1}}{2}$.

Theorem 5.7. *For T_n defined as in equation (47) we have*

$$D_2\left(T_n(\rho)\|\frac{\mathbb{1}_{2^n}}{2^n}\right) \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{2n^2}\right) D_2\left(\rho\|\frac{\mathbb{1}_{2^n}}{2^n}\right).$$

for any $\rho \in \mathcal{D}_{2^n}$.

Proof. From [KT13] it is known that

$$\alpha_2\left(\mathcal{L}_{\frac{\mathbb{1}}{2}}^{(n)}\right) = 1.$$

Now combining Theorem 4.3 and Theorem 5.6 gives

$$\beta_D(T_n) \geq \frac{1}{2n^2} \alpha_2\left(\mathcal{L}_{\frac{\mathbb{1}}{2}}^{(n)}\right).$$

□

Corollary 5.3. *Let T_n be defined as in (47). Then we have*

$$t_1(\epsilon) \leq -\frac{1}{\log\left(1-\frac{1}{2n^2}\right)} \log\left(\frac{n}{\epsilon^2}\right). \tag{48}$$

Proof. This follows directly from the previous theorem and Theorem 5.5. □

6. Strong converse bounds for the classical capacity

When classical information is sent via a quantum channel, the classical capacity is the supremum of transmission rates such that the probability for a decoding error vanishes in the limit of infinite channel uses. In general it is not possible to retrieve the information perfectly when it is sent over a finite number of channels, and the probability for successful decoding will be smaller than 1. Here we want to derive bounds on this probability for quantum dynamical semigroups. More specifically we are interested in strong converse bounds on the classical capacity. An upper bound on the capacity is called a strong converse bound if whenever a transmission rate exceeds the bound the probability of successful decoding goes to zero in the limit of infinite channel uses.

We refer to [NC00, Chapter 12] for the exact definition of the classical capacity and to [Win99, ON99, KW09, WWY14, TWW15] for more details on strong converses and strong converse bounds.

In [WWY14] the following quantity was used to study strong converses.

Definition 6.1 (p-information radius)**.** *Let $T : \mathcal{M}_d \to \mathcal{M}_d$ be a quantum channel. The p-**information radius** T is defined as*[1]

$$K_p(T) = \frac{1}{\log(2)} \min_{\sigma\in\mathcal{D}_d} \max_{\rho\in\mathcal{D}_d} D_p(T(\rho)\|\sigma).$$

We will often refer to a (m, n, p)-coding scheme for classical communication using a quantum channel T. By this we mean a coding-scheme for the transmission of m classical bits via n uses of the channel T for which the probability of successful decoding is p (see again [NC00, Chapter 12] for an exact definition). The following theorem shown in [WWY14, Section 6] relates the information radius and the probability of successful decoding.

Theorem 6.1 (Bound on the success probability in terms of information radius)**.** *Let $T : \mathcal{M}_d \to \mathcal{M}_d$ be a quantum channel, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $R \geq 0$. For any (nR, n, p_{succ})-coding scheme for classical communication via T we have*

$$p_{succ} \leq 2^{-n\left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right)\left(R-\frac{1}{n}K_p\left(T^{\otimes n}\right)\right)}. \tag{49}$$

We will now apply the methods developed in the last sections to obtain strong converse bounds on the capacity of quantum dynamical semigroups.

Theorem 6.2. *Let $\mathcal{L} : \mathcal{M}_d \to \mathcal{M}_d$ be a primitive Liouvillian with full-rank fixed point $\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_d^+$ such that for some $p \in (1, \infty)$ there exists $c > 0$ fulfilling $\beta_p(\mathcal{L}^{(n)}) \geq c$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for any (nR, n, p_{succ})-coding scheme for classical communication via the quantum dynamical semigroup $T_t = e^{t\mathcal{L}}$ we have*

$$p_{succ} \leq 2^{-n\left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right)\left(R-e^{-2ct}\log_2(\|\sigma^{-1}\|_\infty)\right)}.$$

Proof. Using Theorem 3.2 and Lemma 2.1 we have

$$K_p(T_t^{\otimes n}) \leq \frac{1}{\log(2)} \max_{\rho\in\mathcal{D}_{d^n}} D_p(T_t^{\otimes n}(\rho)\|\sigma) \leq \frac{n}{\log(2)} e^{-2\beta_p\left(\mathcal{L}^{(n)}\right)t} \log_2(\|\sigma^{-1}\|_\infty).$$

Now Theorem 6.1 together with the assumption $\beta_p(\mathcal{L}^{(n)}) \geq c$ finishes the proof. □

[1] The log(2) factor is due to our different choice of normalization for the divergences.

Together with Theorem 4.3 the previous theorem shows that a quantum memory can only reliably store classical information for small times when it is subject to noise described by a quantum dynamical semigroup with "large" log-Sobolev constant. Moreover, we can use the results from [TPK14] to give a universal lower bound to the decay of the capacity in terms of the spectral gap and the fixed point.

Corollary 6.1. *Let $\mathcal{L} : \mathcal{M}_d \to \mathcal{M}_d$ be a primitive Liouvillian with full-rank fixed point $\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_d^+$ and spectral gap $\lambda(\mathcal{L})$. Then for any (nR, n, p_{succ})-coding scheme for classical communication via the quantum dynamical semigroup $T_t = e^{t\mathcal{L}}$ we have*

$$p_{succ} \leq 2^{-\frac{n}{2}\left(R - e^{-k(\lambda,\sigma)t}\log_2(\|\sigma^{-1}\|_\infty)\right)}$$

where $k(\lambda, \sigma) := \frac{\lambda}{2(\log(d^4\|\sigma^{-1}\|_\infty)+11)}$.

Proof. It was shown in [TPK14, Theorem 9] that $\alpha_2(\mathcal{L}^{(n)}) \geq 2k(\lambda(\mathcal{L}), \sigma)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Using Theorem 4.3 we have $\beta_2(\mathcal{L}^{(n)}) \geq k(\lambda(\mathcal{L}), \sigma)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Together with Theorem 6.2 this gives the claim. □

For unital semigroups, i.e. for $\sigma = \frac{\mathbb{1}_d}{d}$, one can improve the bound from the previous theorem slightly using (see [MHFW16, Theorem 3.3])

$$k\left(\lambda, \frac{\mathbb{1}_d}{d}\right) = \frac{\lambda(1 - 2d^{-2})}{2(\log(3)\log(d^2 - 1) + 2(1 - 2d^{-2})}$$

For $d = 2$ we even have $k(\lambda, \frac{\mathbb{1}_d}{2}) = \frac{\lambda}{2}$ (see [KT13]).

7. Conclusion and open questions

We have introduced a framework similar to logarithmic Sobolev inequalities to study the convergence of a primitive quantum dynamical semigroup towards its fixed point in the distance measure of sandwiched Rényi divergences. These techniques can be used to obtain mixing time bounds and strong converse bounds on the classical capacity of a quantum dynamical semigroup. Moreover, these results show that a logarithmic Sobolev inequality or hypercontractive inequality always implies an l_1-mixing time bound without the technical assumption of l_p-regularity (which is still not known to hold for general Liouvillians [KT13]).

Although we have some structural results concerning the constants β_p, some questions remain open. For logarithmic Sobolev inequalities it is known that $\alpha_2 \leq \alpha_p$ for $p \geq 1$ under the assumption of l_p-regularity (see [KT13]). It would be interesting to investigate if a result of similar flavor also holds for the β_p. Also, in all examples discussed β_2 and α_2 are of the same order and it would be interesting to know if this is always the case. Finally, it would of course be relevant to obtain bounds on the β_p for more examples, such as Davies generators [Tem13].

Acknowledgments

We thank David Reeb and Oleg Szehr for interesting and engaging discussions, which initiated this project. D.S.F acknowledges support from the graduate program TopMath of the Elite Network of Bavaria, the TopMath Graduate Center of TUM Graduate School at Technische Universität München and the Deutscher Akademischer Austauschdienst(DAAD). A.M-H acknowledges financial support from the European Research Council (ERC Grant Agreement no 337603), the Danish Council for Independent Research (Sapere Aude), and the Swiss National Science Foundation (project no PP00P2 150734). This work was initiated at the BIRS workshop 15w5098, Hypercontractivity and Log Sobolev Inequalities in Quantum Information Theory. We thank BIRS and the Banff Centre for their hospitality.

A. Taylor Expansion of the Dirichlet Form

In order to compute the Taylor expansions of the Dirichlet forms and of the noncommutative l_p-norms we define $f_p : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ and $g_p : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ for $p > 1$ as

$$f_p(x,y) = \begin{cases} (p-1)x^{p-2} & \text{if } x = y \\ \frac{x^{p-1}-y^{p-1}}{x-y} & \text{else} \end{cases} \tag{50}$$

and

$$g_p(x,y) = \begin{cases} \frac{p(p-1)}{2}x^{p-2} & \text{if } x = y \\ \frac{(p-1)x^p - px^{p-1}y + y^p}{(x-y)^2} & \text{else.} \end{cases} \tag{51}$$

Note that the following identity holds

$$g_p(x,y) + g_p(y,x) = pf_p(x,y) \tag{52}$$

for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$.

Lemma A.1 (Taylor expansion)**.** *Consider a primitive, reversible Liouvillian $\mathcal{L} : \mathcal{M}_d \to \mathcal{M}_d$ with full rank fixed point $\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_d^+$. Let $X \in \mathcal{M}_d$ be an eigenvector of $\hat{\mathcal{L}} = \Gamma_\sigma^{-1} \circ \mathcal{L} \circ \Gamma_\sigma$ with corresponding eigenvalue $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ (i.e. $\hat{\mathcal{L}}(X) = \lambda X$) and $Y_\epsilon = \mathbb{1}_d + \epsilon X$. Then we have*

$$\mathcal{E}_p^{\mathcal{L}}(Y_\epsilon) = \frac{p}{2(p-1)} \left(2\epsilon^2 \sum_{1 \le i \le j \le d} f_p(s_i, s_j) b_{ij} b_{ji} + O(\epsilon^3) \right). \tag{53}$$

and

$$\kappa_p(Y_\epsilon) = \frac{p\epsilon^2}{p-1} \sum_{1 \le i \le j \le d} f_p(s_i, s_j) b_{ij} b_{ji} + O(\epsilon^3). \tag{54}$$

Where $\sigma^{1/p} = U\, diag\,(s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_d)\, U^\dagger$ and $b_{ij} = (U^\dagger \sigma^{1/2p} X \sigma^{1/2p} U)_{ij}$.

Proof. Using that $X \in \mathcal{M}_d$ is an eigenvector of $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$ a simple computation gives

$$\mathcal{E}_p^{\mathcal{L}}(Y_\epsilon) = \frac{p\epsilon\sigma}{2(p-1)} \mathrm{tr}\left((A + \epsilon B)^{p-1} B \right)$$

for $A = \sigma^{1/p}$ and $B = \sigma^{1/2p} X \sigma^{1/2p}$. Note that

$$\frac{d^k}{d\epsilon^k} \mathrm{tr}\left((A + \epsilon B)^{p-1} B \right) \Big|_{\epsilon=0} = \mathrm{tr}\left(D^k F(A)(B, B, \ldots, B) B \right)$$

for the matrix power $F : \mathcal{M}_d \to \mathcal{M}_d$ given by $F(X) = X^{p-1}$. We apply the Daleckii-Krein formula (see [DK65] and [Hia10, Theorem 2.3.1.] for the version used here) and obtain

$$\frac{d}{d\epsilon} \mathrm{tr}\left((A + \epsilon B)^{p-1} B \right) \Big|_{\epsilon=0} = \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^d f_p(s_i, s_j) b_{ij} b_{ji}.$$

Using that

$$\mathrm{tr}\left((A + \epsilon B)^{p-1} B \right) \Big|_{\epsilon=0} = \langle \mathbb{1}_d, X \rangle_\sigma = 0$$

by the orthogonality of eigenvectors, and that $f_p(x,y) = f_p(y,x)$ for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ we obtain (53).

To obtain (54) we write

$$\|Y_\epsilon\|_{p,\sigma}^p = \mathrm{tr}\left((A + \epsilon B)^p \right)$$

with $A = \sigma^{1/p}$ and $B = \sigma^{1/2p} X \sigma^{1/2p}$ as above. Again it is easy to see that

$$\frac{d^k}{d\epsilon^k} \mathrm{tr}\left((A + \epsilon B)^p \right) \Big|_{\epsilon=0} = \mathrm{tr}\left(D^k G(A)(B, B, \ldots, B) \right)$$

for the matrix power $G : \mathcal{M}_d \to \mathcal{M}_d$ given by $G(X) = X^p$. Using the Daleckii-Krein formulas we obtain the derivatives

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{d\epsilon}\mathrm{tr}\left((A+\epsilon B)^p\right)\Big|_{\epsilon=0} &= p\left\langle \mathbb{1}_d, X\right\rangle_\sigma = 0\\ \frac{d^2}{d\epsilon^2}\mathrm{tr}\left((A+\epsilon B)^p\right)\Big|_{\epsilon=0} &= \sum_{i=1}^d\sum_{j=1}^d g_p(\sigma_i,\sigma_j)b_{ij}b_{ji}\\ &= p\sum_{1\le i\le j\le d} f_p(\sigma_i,\sigma_j)b_{ij}b_{ji}\end{aligned}$$

where we used the identity (52) in the last step. The above shows that

$$\|Y_\epsilon\|_{p,\sigma}^p = 1+\epsilon^2 p\sum_{1\le i\le j\le d} f_p(\sigma_i,\sigma_j)b_{ij}b_{ji} + O(\epsilon^3). \tag{55}$$

With the well-known expansion $\log(1+x) = x - \frac{x^2}{2} + O(x^3)$ we obtain

$$\kappa_p(Y_\epsilon) = \kappa_p(Y_\epsilon) = \frac{p\epsilon^2}{p-1}\sum_{1\le i\le j\le d} f_p(\lambda_i,\lambda_j)b_{ij}b_{ji} + O(\epsilon^3).$$

which is (54).

□

B. Interpolation Theorems and Proof of Theorem 5.3

In order to prove Theorem 5.3 we will need the following special case of the Stein-Weiss interpolation theorem [BL12, Theorem 1.1.1]. This classic result from interpolation spaces has been applied recently to also solve problems from quantum information theory, such as in [Bei13, Section III].

Theorem B.1 (Hadamard Three Line Theorem)**.** *Let $S = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 \le z \le 1\}$ and $F : S \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{M}_d)$ be an operator-valued function holomorphic in the interior of S and uniformly bounded and continuous on the boundary. Let $\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_d^+$ and assume $1 \le p \le q \le \infty$. For $0 < \theta < 1$ define $p_0 \le p_\theta \le p_1$ by*

$$\frac{1}{p_\theta} = \frac{1-\theta}{p_0} + \frac{\theta}{p_1}$$

Then for $0 \le y \le x \le 1$ we have

$$\|F(y)\|_{2\to p_\theta,\sigma} \le \sup_{a,b\in\mathbb{R}} \|F(ia)\|_{2\to p_0,\sigma}^{1-\theta}\|F(x+ib)\|_{2\to p_1,\sigma}^{\theta} \tag{56}$$

One important consequence of the Stein-Weiss interpolation theorem is the following interpolation result. We again refer to [BL12, Theorem 1.1.1] for a proof.

Theorem B.2 (Riesz-Thorin Interpolation Theorem)**.** *Let $L : \mathcal{M}_d \to \mathcal{M}_d$ be a linear map, $1 \le p_0 \le p_1 \le +\infty$ and $1 \le q_0 \le q_1 \le +\infty$. For $\theta \in [0,1]$ define p_θ to satisfy*

$$\frac{1}{p_\theta} = \frac{\theta}{p_0} + \frac{1-\theta}{p_1}$$

and q_θ analogously. Then for $\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_d^+$ we have:

$$\|L\|_{p_\theta\to q_\theta,\sigma} \le \|L\|_{p_0\to q_0,\sigma}^{\theta}\|L\|_{p_1\to q_1,\sigma}^{1-\theta}$$

With these tools at hand we can finally prove Theorem 5.3:

Proof. Define $E : \mathcal{M}_d \to \mathcal{M}_d$ by $E(X) = \mathrm{tr}(\sigma X)\mathbb{1}_d$ and set $\tau = t_2(\epsilon)$ for some $\epsilon > 0$. In the following we use $T_z = e^{\tau z \mathcal{L}}$ for $z \in S = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 \leq \mathrm{Re} z \leq 1\}$. We will show that for $s \in [0,1]$:

$$\| (T_s - E)(X) \|_{\frac{2}{1-s},\sigma} \leq \epsilon^s \|X\|_{2,\sigma}. \tag{57}$$

The family of operators $T_z - E$ clearly satisfies the assumptions of the Stein-Weiss interpolation theorem. We therefore have

$$\|T_s - E\|_{2 \to \frac{2}{1-s},\sigma} \leq \sup_{a,b \in \mathbb{R}} \|T_{ia} - E\|_{2\to 2,\sigma}^{1-s} \|T_{1+ib} - E\|_{2\to\infty,\sigma}^{s}. \tag{58}$$

Observe that by reversibility of $\mathcal{L}$ the map T_{ia} is a unitary operator with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\sigma$. We also have $T_{ia} \circ E = E$, as $T_{ia}(\mathbb{1}_d) = \mathbb{1}_d$. This gives

$$\| (T_{ia} - E)(X) \|_{2,\sigma} = \| T_{ia}(X - E(X)) \|_{2,\sigma} = \| X - E(X) \|_{2,\sigma} \leq \|X\|_{2,\sigma},$$

where the last equality follows from $\|X - \mathrm{tr}(\sigma X)\mathbb{1}_d\|_{2,\sigma} = \min_{c \in \mathbb{R}} \|X - c\mathbb{1}_d\|_{2,\sigma}$. We therefore have

$$||T_{ia} - E||_{2\to 2,\sigma}^{1-s} \leq 1. \tag{59}$$

Furthermore, by the unitarity of T_{ib} we can compute

$$\| (T_{1+ib} - E)(X) \|_{\infty,\sigma} = \| T_{ib} \circ (T_1 - E)(X) \|_{\infty,\sigma} \leq \|T_1 - E\|_{2\to\infty,\sigma} \|X\|_{2,\sigma}$$

Using duality of the norms and that both T_1 and E are self-adjoint we have

$$\|T_{1+ib} - E\|_{2\to\infty,\sigma} \leq \|T_1 - E\|_{2\to\infty,\sigma} = \|T_1 - E\|_{1\to 2,\sigma} = \epsilon \tag{60}$$

using the definition of τ in the last equality. Inserting (59) and (60) into (58) we get

$$\epsilon^{-s} \| (T_s - E)(X) \|_{\frac{2}{1-s},\sigma} \leq \|X - E(X)\|_{2,\sigma}, \tag{61}$$

as $\| (T_s - E)(X) \|_{\frac{2}{1-s},\sigma} = \| (T_s - E)(X - E(X)) \|_{\frac{2}{1-s},\sigma}$.

Taking the derivative of (61) with respect to s on both sides at $s = 0$ we get

$$\frac{1}{2\|X - E(X)\|_{2,\sigma}} \left(-2\|X - E(X)\|_{2,\sigma}^2 \log(\epsilon) + \mathrm{Ent}_{2,\sigma}(|X - E(X)|) - 2\tau \mathcal{E}(X) \right) \leq 0. \tag{62}$$

Rearranging the terms in (62) we obtain

$$\mathrm{Ent}_{2,\sigma}(|X - E(X)|) \leq 2\tau \mathcal{E}(X) + 2\mathrm{Var}(X) \log(\epsilon). \tag{63}$$

In [OZ99, Theorem 4.2] the following inequality (known as Rothaus' inequality) was shown

$$\mathrm{Ent}_{2,\sigma}(X) \leq \mathrm{Ent}_{2,\sigma}(|X - E(X)|) + 2\mathrm{Var}(X). \tag{64}$$

Combining inequalities (64) with (63) and setting $\epsilon = \frac{1}{e}$ we get $t_2\left(\frac{1}{e}\right) \alpha_2(\mathcal{L}) \geq \frac{1}{2}$ by the definition of the LS constant.

To prove that the inequality is tight, consider the depolarizing Liouvillian $\mathcal{L}_\sigma(X) = \mathrm{tr}(X)\sigma - X$ for some full rank $\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_d^+$. It is easy to see that $\mathrm{Var}\left(e^{t\hat{\mathcal{L}_\sigma}} X\right) = e^{-t}\mathrm{Var}(X)$ and so $t_2\left(e^{-1}\right) = 1 + \log\left(\|\sigma^{-1}\|_\infty - 1\right)$. Restricting to operators commuting with σ, it follows from [DSC96, Theorem A.1] that

$$\alpha_2(\mathcal{L}_\sigma) \leq (1 - 2\|\sigma^{-1}\|_\infty^{-1}) \frac{1}{2\log(\|\sigma^{-1}\|_\infty - 1)}.$$

Thus, for a sequence $\sigma_n \in \mathcal{D}_d^+$ converging to a state that is not full rank we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} t_2\left(e^{-1}\right) \alpha_2(\mathcal{L}_{\sigma_n}) = \frac{1}{2}$$

. □

References

[BCG+13] D. Burgarth, G. Chiribella, V. Giovannetti, P. Perinotti, and K. Yuasa. Ergodic and mixing quantum channels in finite dimensions. *New Journal of Physics*, 15(7):073045, July 2013.

[BCL+15] F. G. S. L. Brandão, T. S. Cubitt, A. Lucia, S. Michalakis, and D. Perez-Garcia. Area law for fixed points of rapidly mixing dissipative quantum systems. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 56(10):102202, October 2015.

[Bei13] S. Beigi. Sandwiched Rényi divergence satisfies data processing inequality. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 54(12):122202, December 2013.

[BL12] J. Bergh and J. Lofstrom. *Interpolation spaces: an introduction*, volume 223. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.

[CLMPG15] T. S. Cubitt, A. Lucia, S. Michalakis, and D. Perez-Garcia. Stability of local quantum dissipative systems. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 337(3):1275–1315, 2015.

[DK65] J. L. Daletskii and S. G. Krein. Integration and differentiation of functions of hermitian operators and applications to the theory of perturbations. *AMS Translations (2)*, 47:1–30, 1965.

[DSC96] P. Diaconis and L. Saloff-Coste. Logarithmic sobolev inequalities for finite markov chains. *The Annals of Applied Probability*, 6(3):695–750, 08 1996.

[Gil10] G. L. Gilardoni. On Pinsker's and Vajda's type inequalities for Csiszar's f - divergences. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 56(11):5377–5386, Nov 2010.

[GKS76] V. Gorini, A. Kossakowski, and E. C. G. Sudarshan. Completely positive dynamical semigroups of N-level systems. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 17:821–825, May 1976.

[Hia10] F. Hiai. Matrix analysis: matrix monotone functions, matrix means, and majorization. *Interdisciplinary Information Sciences*, 16(2):139–246, 2010.

[HOT81] F. Hiai, M. Ohya, and M. Tsukada. Sufficiency, KMS condition and relative entropy in von Neumann algebras. *Pacific J. Math.*, 96(1):99–109, 1981.

[KT13] M. J. Kastoryano and K. Temme. Quantum logarithmic Sobolev inequalities and rapid mixing. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 54(5):052202, May 2013.

[KW09] R. König and S. Wehner. A Strong Converse for Classical Channel Coding Using Entangled Inputs. *Physical Review Letters*, 103(7):070504, August 2009.

[Lin76] G. Lindblad. On the generators of quantum dynamical semigroups. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 48(2):119–130, 1976.

[MHFW16] A. Müller-Hermes, D. Stilck França, and M. M. Wolf. Entropy production of doubly stochastic quantum channels. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 57(2), 2016.

[MHSFW16] A. Müller-Hermes, D. Stilck França, and M. M. Wolf. Relative entropy convergence for depolarizing channels. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 57(2), 2016.

[MLDS+13] M. Müller-Lennert, F. Dupuis, O. Szehr, S. Fehr, and M. Tomamichel. On quantum rényi entropies: A new generalization and some properties. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 54(12):122203, 2013.

[NC00] M.A. Nielsen and I.L. Chuang. *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information*. Cambridge Series on Information and the Natural Sciences. Cambridge University Press, 2000.

[ON99] T. Ogawa and H. Nagaoka. Strong converse to the quantum channel coding theorem. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 45(7):2486–2489, Nov 1999.

[OZ99] R. Olkiewicz and B. Zegarlinski. Hypercontractivity in noncommutative lp spaces. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 161(1):246 – 285, 1999.

[Spo78] H. Spohn. Entropy production for quantum dynamical semigroups. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 19(5):1227–1230, 1978.

[Tem13] K. Temme. Lower bounds to the spectral gap of Davies generators. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 54(12):122110, December 2013.

[TKR+10] K. Temme, M. J. Kastoryano, M. B. Ruskai, M. M. Wolf, and F. Verstraete. The χ^2-divergence and mixing times of quantum Markov processes. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 51(12):122201, December 2010.

[TPK14] K. Temme, F. Pastawski, and M. J. Kastoryano. Hypercontractivity of quasi-free quantum semigroups. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, 47(40):405303, 2014.

[TWW15] M. Tomamichel, M. M. Wilde, and A. Winter. Strong converse rates for quantum communication. In *2015 IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory (ISIT)*, pages 2386–2390. IEEE, 2015.

[Ume62] H. Umegaki. Conditional expectation in an operator algebra. iv. entropy and information. *Kodai Math. Sem. Rep.*, 14(2):59–85, 1962.

[Win99] A. Winter. Coding theorem and strong converse for quantum channels. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 45(7):2481–2485, Nov 1999.

[WWY14] M. M. Wilde, A. Winter, and D. Yang. Strong Converse for the Classical Capacity of Entanglement-Breaking and Hadamard Channels via a Sandwiched Rényi Relative Entropy. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 331:593–622, October 2014.